

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,785	08/26/2003	Pierre Rondeau	RP-00128-US35	2010	
28735	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER		
	DIER RECREATIONAL I TUAL PROPERTY DEPT	FLEMING	FLEMING, FAYE M		
PO BOX 230		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NORTON, VT 05907-0230			3616		
		•	DATE MAILED: 03/23/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

									
1		Application			Applicant(s)				
Y	Office Action Comments	10/647,785	;	RONDEAU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Faye M. Fle		3616	 				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
A T -	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	ort, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tation to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1	N Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	lovember 20	04.						
	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5 6 7	4) Claim(s) 2-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
App	ication Papers								
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attacl	nment(s)		_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. /									
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	,	5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/647,785

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 2-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claim language "...the standard driver is seated in a standard driver position defined as the standard driver straddling and being seated on the seat on the main seat portion with its feet disposed on the driver's footrests and its hands disposed on the handlebars, and the standard passenger is seated in a standard passenger position defined as the standard passenger straddling and being seated on the seat on the secondary seat portion with its feet disposed on the passenger's footrests and its hands disposed on the grab handles..." is improper because the passenger is a non-statutory subject. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2, 3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitao, et al (6,296,163).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/647,785

Art Unit: 3616

Kitao, et al teaches an ATV comprising a frame; only four wheels suspended from the frame, two of which are front wheels and two which are rear wheels, the front wheels defining a front axis and the rear wheels defining a rear axis; a power unit; a straddle-type seat supported by the frame, the seat including a main seat portion for a driver, the main seat portion having a rearward part, a secondary seat portion, rearward of the main seat portion; a pair of driver's footrests 37; a pair of passenger's footrests 37; a pair of passenger grab handles via 11; a rear rack disposed rearwardly of a rearward end of the secondary seat portion; and a steering member. The secondary seat portion does not interfere with an open storage space provided on top of the rear rack.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitao, et al (6,296,163) in view of Hendrick, et al (4,300,706).

Kitao teaches the claimed invention except for a back rest. Hendrick teaches a back rest 144 positioned at a rearward end of a seat portion. Based on the teachings of Hendrick, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify the secondary seat portion of Kitao to include a back rest to provide comfort for a secondary passenger.

With respect to the rearward end of the secondary seat portion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the rearward end of the secondary seat portion longitudinally disposed in front of a back side of the rear rack a specific distance or within a specific range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to the wheelbase, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the wheelbase a specific size and/or a size within a specific range, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, as well as, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to the air pressure, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a specific air pressure in the tires, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 24, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The references clearly teaches the claimed structure.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye M. Fleming whose telephone number is (703) 305-0209 (after April 6, 2005, (571) 272-6672). The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089 (after April 6,2005, (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/647,785

Art Unit: 3616

272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Page 6

Art Unit 3616